

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/776,328	FOSTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence Shrader	2193	

All Participants:

(1) Lawrence Shrader.

(2) Francis Kalinski, Reg # 44, 177.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:15 PM; 4:00 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

33 - 35, 38, 42, 46, and 57

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicants, through the attorney of record, agreed to an examiner's amendment to delete the data structure claims 33 - 35, and also to delete the trademark name SOLARIS in claims 38, 42, and 46. Examiner also agreed to fix a typo in claim 57 via the examiner's amendment. .